arXiv:1401.5120v3 [math.CV] 23 Jan 2015

SHARP INEQUALITIES OVER THE UNIT POLYDISC

MARIJAN MARKOVIĆ

Dedicated to Professor Miodrag Mateljević on the occasion of his 65th birthday

Abstract. Motivated by some results due to Burbea we prove that if a certain sharp integral inequality holds for functions in the unit polydisc which belong to concrete Hardy spaces, then it also holds, in an appropriate form, in the case of functions from arbitrary Hardy spaces. We also examine the equality case. We present an application of this main result to a Burbea inequality which includes an isoperimetric type inequality as a special case.

1. Introduction and the main theorem

1.1. **Introduction.** In this paper we are interested in a certain kind of integral inequalities for analytic functions in Hardy spaces in the unit polydisc. One of such inequalities is contained in a theorem due to Burbea which is formulated below. Before formulation we recall what the generalized Hardy spaces stand for. We recall the definition of classical Hardy spaces in the unit polydisc in the next section.

Introduce firstly the basic notations which will be used. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be the complex plane. Denote by $\mathbf{U}$ the open unit disc, i.e., the set $\{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| < 1\}$. For an integer $n \geq 1$ let $\mathbf{C}^n$ stand for the n-dimensional complex vector space. The direct product $\mathbf{U}^n = \underbrace{\mathbf{U} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{U}}_{n}$ is the unit polydisc, and $\mathbf{T}^n = \underbrace{\mathbf{T} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{T}}_{n}$ is the unit torus in $\mathbf{C}^n$.

Let $\mathbf{Z}_+$ be the set of all non–negative integers. Denote by $\mathbf{Z}_+^n = \underbrace{\mathbf{Z}_+ \times \cdots \times \mathbf{Z}_+}_{n}$ the set of all multi–indexes. For any complex number q the shifted factorial (the Pochhammer symbol) is

$$(q)_\beta = \begin{cases} q(q+1)\cdots(q+\beta-1), & \text{if } \beta \geq 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } \beta = 0, \end{cases}$$

where β is an integer in $\mathbf{Z}_+$. One may extend this definition as follows. For every $q = (q_1, \ldots, q_j, \ldots, q_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_j, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n$ denote

$$(q)_\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^{n} (q_j)_{\alpha_j}.$$

Denote by $\mathbf{R}_+^n$ the set $\{(x_1, \ldots, x_j, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbf{R}^n : x_j \geq 0,\ j = 1, \ldots, n\}$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32A35; Secondary 32A36.

Key words and phrases. Hardy spaces in the unit polydisc, logarithmically subharmonic functions, the isoperimetric inequality.

For $q = (q_1, \ldots, q_n) \in \mathbf{R}_+^n \backslash \{0\}$ the generalized Hardy space in the unit polydisc, denoted by $H_q(\mathbf{U}^n)$, is the space of all analytic functions f in $\mathbf{U}^n$ for which the following norm is finite

$$\|f\|_q^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n} \frac{\alpha!}{(q)_\alpha} |a_\alpha|^2,$$

where $a_\alpha = a_\alpha(f)$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n$ is the α-coefficient in the Taylor expansion for f, i.e., $f(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n} a_\alpha z^\alpha$. The space $H_q(\mathbf{U}^n)$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space with the kernel

$$K_q(z, w) = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - z_j \overline{w}_j)^{q_j}},$$

$z = (z_1, \ldots, z_j, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbf{U}^n$, $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_j, \ldots, w_n) \in \mathbf{U}^n$. The details of the construction of generalized Hardy spaces, based on some facts from theory of reproducing kernels, may be found in the Burbea paper [5] in the case of the unit disc; the case of the unit polydisc is given in [6]. For the theory of reproducing kernels we refer to the work of Aronszajn [1].

The theorem which follows serves as a motivation for our main result stated in the third subsection.

Theorem 1.1 (cf. [6]). *Let $q_j \in \mathbf{R}_+^n \backslash \{0\}$ and $f_j(z) \in H_{q_j}(\mathbf{U}^n)$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, where $m \geq 2$ is an integer. Denote $q = \sum_{j=1}^m q_j$. Then*

$$\prod_{j=1}^m f_j \in H_q(\mathbf{U}^n)$$

and

$$\left\| \prod_{j=1}^m f_j \right\|_q \leq \prod_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_{q_j}.$$

The equality sign attains if and only if either $\prod_{j=1}^m f_j \equiv 0$ or each $f_j(z)\,(j = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$ is of the form

$$f_j(z) = C_j K_{q_j}^w(z)$$

for some (common) $w \in \mathbf{U}^n$ and a constant $C_j \neq 0$.

We have used the following notation to express the extremal functions. If $F(z, w)$ is any function of two variables and if w is fixed, then F_w and F^w denote the following restricted function $F_w(z) = F^w(z) = F(z, w)$. Similar meaning has, for example, $F_{zw}(\omega)$ if $F(z, w, \omega)$ is a function of three variables.

Remark 1.2. The above formulated theorem is Theorem 4.1 in [6]. A generalization of it may be found in the same paper for Reinhardt domains. A proof in the case of Reinhardt domains may be obtained modifying the arguments given in [4] for the case of the unit ball in $\mathbf{C}^n$. The principal idea is to use Carleman's approach [7] in proving the classical isoperimetric inequality for minimal surfaces.

Let dA stand for the area measure in $\mathbf{C}$. For a real $q > 1$ introduce the normalized weighted measure in the unit disc

$$dA_{q-2}(z) = \frac{q-1}{\pi}(1 - |z|^2)^{q-2}\, dA(z).$$

An element $(q,\dots,q)\in\mathbf{R}^n$ will be abbreviated as $\mathbf{q}$. $dA_{\mathbf{q-2}}$ is the measure on the unit polydisc $\mathbf{U}^n$ given by the product $\underbrace{dA_{q-2}\times\cdots\times dA_{q-2}}_{n}$. For $q=1$ it is convenient to set $dA_{\mathbf{q-2}}=dA_{\mathbf{-1}}=dm_n$, where dm_n is the Haar measure on the unit torus $\mathbf{T}^n$.

For $q\geq 1$ it is not hard to verify that the square of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{q}}$ has the integral representation

$$\|f\|^2_{\mathbf{q}} = \int |f|^2\, dA_{\mathbf{q-2}}, \quad f\in H_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{U}^n). \tag{1.1}$$

In (1.1) we assume integration over $\mathbf{U}^n$ if $q>1$, and over $\mathbf{T}^n$ if $q=1$. In the last case, the object of integration is the radial boundary function for f, since the generalized Hardy space $H_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{U}^n)$ coincides with the classical Hardy space $H^2(\mathbf{U}^n)$. The space $H_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{U}^n)$, $q>1$ is the weighted Bergman space, usually denoted by $L^2_{a,q-2}(\mathbf{U}^n)$. For $q\in(0,1)$ the space $H_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{U}^n)$ is also known as the Bergman–Selberg space in the unit polydisc.

One of our aims in this paper is to establish a theorem similar to Theorem 1.1 for analytic functions which belong to the classical Hardy spaces in the unit polydisc which do not necessary have the Hilbert structure. This is done in the last section using the method which will be established in the next two sections.

1.2. **Spaces of analytic functions in the unit polydisc.** Following the Rudin monograph [29] we collect here the definitions and some facts concerning the well known spaces of analytic functions in the unit polydisc.

Let us first say that, if (X,ν) is a measure space, then $L^p(X,\nu)$, $0<p\leq\infty$ denotes the Lebesgue space over (X,ν). We write $\|\varphi\|_{L^p(X,\nu)}$ for the norm of $f\in L^p(X,\nu)$.

The Nevanlinna class $N(\mathbf{U}^n)$ contains all analytic functions $f(z)$ in the unit polydisc which satisfy the growth condition

$$\sup_{0\leq r<1}\int_{\mathbf{T}^n}\log^+|f(r\zeta)|\,dm_n(\zeta)<\infty.$$

Recall that

$$\log^+ x=\begin{cases}\log x, & \text{if } x>1;\\ 0, & \text{if } 0<x\leq 1.\end{cases}$$

In other words, for the family of functions $\{\mathbf{T}^n\ni\zeta\to\log^+|f_r(\zeta)|:0\leq r<1\}$, where $f_r(z)=f(rz)$, $z\in\overline{\mathbf{U}}^n$ is the r-dilatation of $f(z)$, is required to lie in a bounded subset of the Lebesgue space $L^1(\mathbf{T}^n,m_n)$. The class $N^*(\mathbf{U}^n)$ is the class of all $f\in N(\mathbf{U}^n)$ for which the preceding family of functions form a uniformly integrable family.

We call a function $\phi(t)$ strongly convex if it is convex on $(-\infty,+\infty)$, $\phi\geq 0$, ϕ is non–decreasing, and $\phi(t)/t\to+\infty$ as $t\to+\infty$. If ϕ is a strongly convex function, define $H_\phi(\mathbf{U}^n)$ to be the class of all analytic functions f in $\mathbf{U}^n$ for which

$$\sup_{0\leq r<1}\int_{\mathbf{T}^n}\phi(\log|f(r\zeta)|)\,dm_n(\zeta)<\infty.$$

It happens that the space $N^*(\mathbf{U}^n)$ is the union of all $H_\phi(\mathbf{U}^n)$ (this is the content of Theorem 3.1.2 in [29]).

If $f(z)$ is any function in $\mathbf{U}^n$, we define its radial boundary function $f^*(\zeta)$ by $f^*(\zeta)=\lim_{r\to1^-}f(r\zeta)$ at every point $\zeta\in\mathbf{T}^n$ where the radial limit exists. For

$f(z) \in N(\mathbf{U}^n)$ it is known that $f^*(\zeta)$ exists for almost every $\zeta \in \mathbf{T}^n$. Moreover, $\log|f^*(\zeta)| \in L^1(\mathbf{T}^n, m_n)$. Within $N^*(\mathbf{U})$, the H_ϕ-classes are characterized by their boundary values. Suppose that $f(z) \in N^*(\mathbf{U}^n)$ and ϕ is strongly convex, then

$$f(z) \in H_\phi(\mathbf{U}^n) \text{ if and only if } \phi(\log|f^*(\zeta)|) \in L^1(\mathbf{T}^n, m_n).$$

If this is the case, then

$$r \to \int_{\mathbf{T}^n} \phi(\log|f_r(\zeta)|)\, dm_n(\zeta)$$

is increasing in $0 \le r < 1$ (since $\phi(\log|f_r(\zeta)|)$ is n-subharmonic, i.e., subharmonic in each variable separately), and

$$\lim_{r\to 1^-} \int_{\mathbf{T}^n} \phi(\log|f_r(\zeta)|)\, dm_n(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbf{T}^n} \phi(\log|f^*(\zeta)|)\, dm_n(\zeta).$$

The Hardy space in the unit polydisc $H^p(\mathbf{U}^n)\,(0 < p < \infty)$ is $H_\phi(\mathbf{U}^n)$ with $\phi(t) = e^{pt}$. We write H^p instead of $H^p(\mathbf{U})$ for the Hardy space in the unit disc. For the theory of Hardy spaces in the unit disc we refer to Duren's book [9]. One introduces a norm in $H^p(\mathbf{U}^n)$ by

$$\|f\|_p = \sup_{0\le r<1} M_p(f, r),$$

where we have denoted

$$M_p(f, r) = \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{T}^n} |f(r\zeta)|^p dm_n(\zeta) \right\}^{1/p}.$$

Since $|f(z)|^p$ is n-subharmonic in the expression for $\|f\|_p^p = \sup_{0\le r<1} M_p^p(f, r)$ we may replace $\sup_{0\le r<1}$ by $\lim_{r\to 1^-}$. Therefore, for $f \in H^p$ we may write $\|f\|_p = \|f^*\|_{L^p(\mathbf{T}^n, m_n)}$.

For $f \in H^p(\mathbf{U}^n)\,(0 < p < \infty)$ we have convergence in mean

$$\lim_{r\to 1^-} \int_{\mathbf{T}^n} |f_r(\zeta) - f^*(\zeta)|^p\, dm_n(\zeta) = 0.$$

As a consequence, one derives that every $f(z) \in H^p(\mathbf{U}^n)\,(1 \le p < \infty)$ may be represented as the Poisson integral as well as the Cauchy integral of its radial boundary function $f^*(\zeta)$. For example,

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbf{T}^n} K(z, \zeta)\, f^*(\zeta)\, dm_n(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbf{U}^n.$$

In the preceding relation $K(z, \zeta)$ stands for the Cauchy–Szegö kernel for the unit polydisc given by

$$K(z, \zeta) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1 - z_j \overline{\zeta}_j},$$

where $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_j, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbf{U}^n$ and $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_j, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbf{T}^n$.

1.3. **The main result.** In the sequel a weighted measure in the unit disc is a measure of the form

$$d\mu(z) = g(z)\, dA(z), \quad g(z) > 0,\ z \in \mathbf{U}.$$

A weighted measure in the polydisc $\mathbf{U}^n$ is a product of n (not necessary equal) weighted measures in the unit disc.

The letter m always denotes an integer ≥ 1, and the letter p (with or without an index) any positive number. Let $\Phi : \mathbf{R}_+^m \to \mathbf{R}_+$ be continuous and strictly increasing in each variable separately, which moreover satisfies $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_m) = 0$ if $x_j = 0$ for some j, $1 \leq j \leq m$.

For a fixed weighted measure in the unit disc μ we will consider the following weighted measure in the unit polydisc $\nu_n = \underbrace{\mu \times \cdots \times \mu}_{n}$.

We will prove our main result under an assumption that Φ and μ satisfy the condition:

(†) *There exist* $\tilde{p}_j$, $0 < \tilde{p}_j < \infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ *such that*

$$\Phi(|f_1|^{\tilde{p}_1} \dots, |f_m|^{\tilde{p}_m}) \in L^1(\mathbf{U}^n, \nu_n)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbf{U}^n} \Phi(|f_1(z)|^{\tilde{p}_1}, \dots, |f_m(z)|^{\tilde{p}_m})\, d\nu_n(z) \leq \Phi(\|f_1\|_{\tilde{p}_1}^{\tilde{p}_1}, \dots, \|f_m\|_{\tilde{p}_m}^{\tilde{p}_m}) \tag{1.2}$$

for all $f_j(z) \in H^{\tilde{p}_j}(\mathbf{U}^n)$ $(j = 1, \dots, m)$*, with the equality sign if and only if either*

1. $\prod_{j=1}^m f_j \equiv 0$ *(i.e.* $f_j \equiv 0$ *for some* j, $1 \leq j \leq m$*) or*
2. *each* f_j $(j = 1, \dots, m)$ *is equal to* $f_j(z) = \Psi_j^n(z) \not\equiv 0$*, where* $(\dots, \Psi_j^n, \dots)$ *belongs to a class denoted by* $\mathcal{E}(\Phi, \nu_n)$*.*

In what follows the class $\mathcal{E}(\Phi, \nu_n)$ we will call the family of extremals for the inequality (1.2).

Remark 1.3. Note that if C_j, $j = 1, \dots, m$ are constants which satisfy $|C_j| = 1$, and if we take some $(\dots, \Psi_j^n, \dots) \in \mathcal{E}(\Phi, \nu_n)$, then we also have $(\dots, C_j\Psi_j^n, \dots) \in \mathcal{E}(\Phi, \nu_n)$. If Φ satisfies $\Phi(\dots, \alpha_j x_j, \dots) = \alpha_j \Phi(\dots, x_j, \dots)$, $\alpha_j > 0$, $x_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then the previous conclusion holds for all $C_j > 0$, $j = j, \dots, m$.

Our main goal in this paper is to prove

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that* Φ *and* μ *satisfy the condition* (†)*. Let* $f_j(z) \in H^{p_j}(\mathbf{U}^n)$ $(0 < p_j < \infty)$ *for all* $j = 1, \dots, m$*. Then*

$$\Phi(|f_1|^{p_1}, \dots, |f_m|^{p_m}) \in L^1(\mathbf{U}^n, \nu_n)$$

with

$$\int_{\mathbf{U}^n} \Phi(|f_1(z)|^{p_1}, \dots, |f_m(z)|^{p_m})\, d\nu_n(z) \leq \Phi(\|f_1\|_{p_1}^{p_1}, \dots, \|f_m\|_{p_m}^{p_m}). \tag{1.3}$$

Moreover,

1. *each extremal* Ψ_j^n, $j = 1, \dots, m$ *for the inequality* (1.2)*, i.e.,* $(\dots, \Psi_j^n, \dots) \in \mathcal{E}(\Phi, \nu_n)$*, vanishes nowhere in* $\mathbf{U}^n$*, and*
2. *equality attains in* (1.3) *if and only if either* $\prod_{j=1}^m f_j \equiv 0$ *or each* f_j $(j = 1, \dots, m)$ *is of the form* $f_j(z) = \Psi_j^n(z)^{\tilde{p}_j/p_j}$ *for some* $(\dots, \Psi_j^n, \dots) \in \mathcal{E}(\Phi, \nu_n)$*.*

Remark 1.5. Note that if we take $\Phi(x_1,\dots,x_m)=x_1\cdots x_m$ and $d\mu=dA_{m-2}$, then we have $d\nu_n=dA_{\mathbf{m}-2}$, and the condition (†) is satisfied in the Hilbert case, i.e., when $\tilde{p}_1=\cdots=\tilde{p}_m=2$, what states the Burbea theorem mentioned in the Introduction.

2. Preliminaries for the proof of the main theorem

2.1. **The one–dimensional case.** The case $n=1$ of our Theorem 1.4 is straightforward to obtain and we consider it here. We prove

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that Φ and μ satisfy the condition (†). Let $f_j(z)\in H^{p_j}$ $(0<p_j<\infty)$ for all $j=1,\dots,m$. Then*

$$\Phi(|f_1|^{p_1},\dots,|f_m|^{p_m})\in L^1(\mathbf{U},\mu)$$

with

$$\int_{\mathbf{U}}\Phi(|f_1(z)|^{p_1},\dots,|f_m(z)|^{p_m})\,d\mu(z)\le\Phi(\|f_1\|_{p_1}^{p_1},\dots,\|f_m\|_{p_m}^{p_m}). \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover:

1. *Every Ψ_j^1, $j=1,\dots,m$, $(\dots,\Psi_j^1,\dots,)\in\mathcal{E}(\Phi,\mu)$, annihilates nowhere in the unit disc.*
2. *Equality attains in (2.1) if and only if either $\prod_{j=1}^m f_j\equiv 0$ or each f_j $(j=1,\dots,m)$ is of the form $f_j(z)=\Psi_j^1(z)^{\tilde{p}_j/p_j}$ for some $(\dots,\Psi_j^1,\dots)\in\mathcal{E}(\Phi,\mu)$.*

Proof. Without lost of generality, suppose that $f_j\not\equiv 0$ for all $j=1,\dots,m$. By the Riesz theorem it is possible to obtain the factorization $f_j(z)=B_j(z)h_j(z)$, where B_j is the Blaschke product for f_j. Recall that one takes $B_j\equiv 1$, if f_j is zero–free. Since h_j does not vanish in the unit disc, it is possible to obtain a branch $\tilde{h}_j(z)=h_j(z)^{p_j/\tilde{p}_j}$ there. Since $|B_j(z)|\le 1$ everywhere in the disc, we have

$$|f_j(z)|\le|h_j(z)|,\quad z\in\mathbf{U}. \tag{2.2}$$

Since $|B_j(\zeta)|=1$ for almost every $\zeta\in\mathbf{T}$, it follows that $|h_j(\zeta)|=|f_j(\zeta)|$ a.e. on $\mathbf{T}$. Thus

$$\|\tilde{h}_j\|_{\tilde{p}_j}^{\tilde{p}_j}=\|f_j\|_{p_j}^{p_j}, \tag{2.3}$$

which implies $\tilde{h}_j\in H^{\tilde{p}_j}$.

In view of (2.2), since Φ is increasing in each variable, we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{U}}\Phi(\dots,|f_j(z)|^{p_j},\dots)\,d\mu(z)\le\int_{\mathbf{U}}\Phi(\dots,|\tilde{h}_j(z)|^{\tilde{p}_j},\dots)\,d\mu(z). \tag{2.4}$$

Regarding the condition (†), we first obtain $\Phi(\dots,|\tilde{h}_j|^{\tilde{p}_j}|,\dots)\in L^1(\mathbf{U},\mu)$. This means that both integrals in (2.4) are finite, hence $\Phi(\dots,|f_j|^{p_j},\dots)\in L^1(\mathbf{U},\mu)$. Further,

$$\int_{\mathbf{U}}\Phi(\dots,|\tilde{h}_j(z)|^{\tilde{p}_j},\dots)\,d\mu(z)\le\Phi(\dots,\|\tilde{h}_j\|_{p_j}^{p_j},\dots). \tag{2.5}$$

Regarding (2.3), the inequality of this theorem follows from the relations (2.4) and (2.5).

Let us consider now the second half of this theorem. If equality attains in (2.1), then equality must hold in (2.5) and (2.4). Applying the equality statement of (†), we infer that equality holds in (2.5) if and only if $\tilde{h}_j(z)=\tilde{\Psi}_j^1(z)$, $j=1,\dots,m$ for

some $(\dots,\tilde{\Psi}_j^1,\dots)\in\mathcal{E}(\Phi,\mu)$. It follows that each $\tilde{\Psi}_j^1$ is zero–free. Now, equality holds in (2.4) if and only if $|B_j(z)|\equiv 1$ for all $j=1,\dots,m$. This means that

$$f_j(z)=C_j\tilde{\Psi}_j^1(z)^{\tilde{p}_j/p_j}=\{\tilde{C}_j\tilde{\Psi}_j^1(z)\}^{\tilde{p}_j/p_j},$$

where $|C_j|=|\tilde{C}_j|=1$ are constants (for all $j=1,\dots,m$). □

Remark 2.2. Due to the non–existence of a direct analogue of the Riesz factorization theorem for Hardy spaces (and for the Nevanlinna space, as well) in the unit polydisc, one cannot prove our main theorem in a such easy way as in the case $n=1$. However, it is possible to give a proof using a factorization theorem, but with some constraints. This will be shown at the end of the next section where we prove our main result.

In our complete proof of Theorem 1.4 the main role play logarithmically subharmonic functions in the Hardy classes (the classes PL_p for a positive p, which will be introduced in the sequel). Recall, a function U is logarithmically subharmonic in a domain D if $U\equiv 0$, or if it is possible to represent it in the form $U(z)=e^{u(z)}$, $z\in D$, where $u(z)$ is a subharmonic function in D. In the next subsection we will need the following two lemmas concerning the (logarithmically) subharmonic functions. Their proofs may be found at the beginning of the Ronkin monograph [27]. Actually, regarding the following remark, it is enough to consider both lemmas for subharmonic functions. A function $U(x,y)$ is logarithmically subharmonic in D if and only if $e^{\alpha x+\beta y}U(x,y)$ is subharmonic in D for every choice of real numbers α and β.

Lemma 2.3 (cf. [27])**.** *Let X be a non–empty set and let $\{U_\alpha,\,\alpha\in X\}$ be a family of (logarithmically) subharmonic functions in a domain D. Then*

$$u(z)=\sup_{\alpha\in X}U_\alpha(z)$$

is also (logarithmically) subharmonic in D if it is upper semi–continuous in this domain.

Lemma 2.4 (cf. [27])**.** *Let $U(z,x)$ be upper semi–continuous in $D\times X$, where D is a domain and X is a topological space. Moreover, let ν be a finite measure on X. Then*

$$U(z)=\int_X U(z,x)\,d\nu(x)$$

is (logarithmically) subharmonic in D, if U_x is (logarithmically) subharmonic in D for a.e. $x\in X$.

Introduce now the Hardy classes $PL_p\,(0<p<\infty)$ of logarithmically subharmonic functions in the unit disc which play a main role in this paper. The class PL_p contains all continuous logarithmically subharmonic functions U in the unit disc such that $M_p(U,r)$ is bounded in $0\le r<1$. Since U^p is also (logarithmically) subharmonic in the unit disc, in the definition, instead of boundedness we could ask for the existence of the boundary value $\lim_{r\to 1^-}M_p(U,r)$.

It is known that every $U\in PL_p$ has the radial boundary values at almost every point in $\mathbf{T}$. The radial boundary value of $U\in PL_p$ at a point $\zeta\in\mathbf{T}$ will be denoted (when exists) by $U^*(\zeta)$, or simply by $U(\zeta)$. It may be proved that $U(\zeta)\in L^p(\mathbf{T},m_1)$ and $\log U(\zeta)\in L^1(\mathbf{T},m_1)$. One can also prove the convergence

in mean $\|U_r - U^*\|_{L^p(\mathbf{T},m_1)} \to 0$ as $r \to 1^-$. We introduce a norm (we say "norm", but in fact it is not in a strong sense) in PL_p by

$$\|U\|_p = \lim_{r\to 1^-} M_p(U,r) = \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{T}} U(\zeta)^p dm_1(\zeta) \right\}^{1/p}.$$

For introduced classes of subharmonic functions we refer to the work of Privalloff [25]. See also Yamashita's work [33] for an interesting consideration which concerns the logarithmically subharmonic functions of these classes.

We establish now the following useful extension of the case $n = 1$ of our main theorem.

Theorem 2.5. *Assume that* (†) *holds for* Φ *and* μ. *Let* $U_j(z) \in PL_1$ $(j = 1, \dots, m)$. *Then*

$$\Phi(U_1, \dots, U_m) \in L^1(\mathbf{U}, \mu)$$

with

$$\int_{\mathbf{U}} \Phi(U_1(z), \dots, U_m(z))\, d\mu(z) \le \Phi(\|U_1\|_1, \dots, \|U_m\|_1).$$

Equality attains if and only if either there exists j_0, $1 \le j_0 \le m$ *such that* $U_{j_0} \equiv 0$ *or each* U_j $(j = 1, \dots, m)$ *is of the form*

$$U_j(z) = |\Psi_j^1(z)|^{\tilde{p}_j}$$

for some $(\dots, \Psi_j^1, \dots) \in \mathcal{E}(\Phi, \mu)$.

The following known lemma will be useful in the proof of the above result. The proof is given for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2.6. *For every* $U(z) \in PL_p$ *there exists* $f(z) \in H^p$ *such that* $U(z) \le |f(z)|$ *for* $z \in \mathbf{U}$ *and* $U(\zeta) = |f(\zeta)|$ *for a.e.* $\zeta \in \mathbf{T}$.

Proof. Let $U(z) \in PL_p$ and suppose w.l.g. that $U \not\equiv 0$. Since $U(\zeta) \in L^p(\mathbf{T}, m_1)$ and $\log U(\zeta) \in L^1(\mathbf{T}, m_1)$, consider the outer function in H^p given by

$$f(z) = \exp\left\{ \int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{\zeta + z}{\zeta - z} \log U(\zeta)\, dm_1(\zeta) \right\}.$$

It is clear that $|f(\zeta)| = U(\zeta)$ for a.e. $\zeta \in \mathbf{T}$. Since $\log U(z)$ is subharmonic in the unit disc, we have

$$\log U(z) \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(r, \theta - t)\, \log U(e^{it})\, dt = \log |f(z)| \quad (z = re^{i\theta}).$$

It follows $U(z) \le |f(z)|$ for $z \in \mathbf{U}$. Here, $P(r, \theta - t) = \operatorname{Re}\left((\zeta + z)/(\zeta - z)\right)$, $\zeta = e^{it}$ is the Poisson kernel. □

Proof of Theorem 2.5. W.l.g. assume that $U_j \not\equiv 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. According to Lemma 2.6, there exists (an outer function) $f_j \in H^1$ such that

$$U_j(z) \le |f_j(z)|, \quad z \in \mathbf{U} \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$U_j(\zeta) = |f_j(\zeta)| \text{ for a.e. } \zeta \in \mathbf{T} \tag{2.7}$$

In Theorem 2.1 take the above functions f_j $(j = 1, \dots, m)$. Using (2.6) and (2.7), and monotonicity of Φ we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbf{U}} \Phi(\dots, U_j(z), \dots)\, d\mu(z) \le \int_{\mathbf{U}} \Phi(\dots, |f_j(z)|, \dots)\, d\mu(z) \le \Phi(\dots, \|f_j\|_1, \dots) = \Phi(\dots, \|U_j\|_1, \dots), \tag{2.8}$$

what proves the inequality we need.

Equality holds at the second place of (2.8) (regarding the equality statement of (†)) if and only if each f_j $(j = 1, \dots, m)$ is of the form $f_j = \Psi_j^1$ for some $(\dots, \Psi_j^1, \dots) \in \mathcal{E}(\Phi, \mu)$. In this case $|f_j(z)|$ does not vanish anywhere in the unit disc. In view of (2.6), it follows that equality occurs at the first place of (2.8) if and only if $U_j(z) = |f_j(z)|$ for all $z \in \mathbf{U}$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$. All together, equality attains at both places if and only if

$$U_j(z) = |f_j(z)| = |\Psi_j^1(z)|, \quad z \in \mathbf{U}$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. □

Remark 2.7. Since

$$\{U = |f|^p : f \in H^p\} \subseteq PL_1$$

note that Theorem 2.5 may be seen as a generalization of the main theorem in the case $n = 1$. The equality statement of Theorem 2.1 could be derived from the equality statement of Theorem 2.5 having in mind the elementary fact: if φ and ψ are analytic functions in the unit disc and if $|\varphi(z)| = |\psi(z)|$ for all $z \in \mathbf{U}$, then $\varphi = \alpha\psi$ for some constant α of the unit modulus.

Note also that we could prove Theorem 2.5 using only the assumed condition (†) for outer function given by $U_j^{1/\tilde{p}_j} \in PL_{p_j}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ (in view of Lemma 2.6). That proof would be of the same length, and it is of some interest to observe that we can prove the one dimensional case of our main theorem without the Riesz factorization theorem for Hardy spaces in the unit disc.

2.2. **A theorem on restricted analytic functions.** Besides Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.5 for the complete proof of Theorem 1.4 we will need some additional results which are of interest on their own right. Here we will firstly collect some facts concerning the Calderón–Zygmund theorem on iterated limits of analytic functions in the unit polydisc. We follow mostly the work of Davis [8].

If $f \in N(\mathbf{U}^n)$ $(f \in H_\phi(\mathbf{U}^n))$ and $(z_{j_1}, \dots, z_{j_k}) \in \mathbf{U}^k$, $1 \le k \le n-1$, $j_1 < \dots < j_k$ then for the restricted function $f_{z_{j_1} \dots z_{j_k}}$ there holds $f_{z_{j_1} \dots z_{j_k}} \in N(\mathbf{U}^k)$ $(f_{z_{j_1} \dots z_{j_k}} \in H_\phi(\mathbf{U}^k))$. This is seen most easily by using the n-harmonic majorant for $\log^+ |f|$ $(\phi(\log |f|))$.

For $f \in N(\mathbf{U}^n)$ and $\zeta_1 \in \mathbf{T}$ denote

$$f_{\zeta_1}(z_2, \dots, z_n) = \lim_{r \to 1^-} f(r\zeta_1, z_2, \dots, z_n).$$

Whenever this limit exists, it defines an analytic function on $\mathbf{U}^{n-1}$. Zygmund [34] proved: If $f \in N(\mathbf{U}^n)$ then $f_{\zeta_1} \in N(\mathbf{U}^{n-1})$ for almost every $\zeta_1 \in \mathbf{T}$. For $\zeta_1 \in \mathbf{T}$ satisfying the previous condition we may then consider

$$f_{\zeta_1\zeta_2}(z_3, \dots, z_n) = \lim_{r \to 1^-} f_{\zeta_1}(r\zeta_2, z_3, \dots, z_n) \in N(\mathbf{U}^{n-2})$$

for almost every $\zeta_2 \in \mathbf{T}$. Continuing in this manner we arrive at

$$f_{\zeta_1 \dots \zeta_n} = \lim_{r \to 1^-} f_{\zeta_1 \dots \zeta_{n-1}}(r\zeta_n),$$

whenever this limit exists. In [8] Davis showed that if $f \in N^*(\mathbf{U}^n)$, then the iterated limits of f are almost everywhere independent of the order of iteration. In fact, the iterated limit and the radial limit are equal almost everywhere. A similar theorem holds for functions belonging to the space H_ϕ (as a consequence). Zygmund [34] proved the preceding result, but for $f \in N_{n-1}(\mathbf{U}^n) \supseteq N^*(\mathbf{U}^n)$. For the description of the class $N_{n-1}(\mathbf{U}^n)$ see the Zygmund paper. Then Calderón and Zygmund posed the question whether $N_{n-1}(\mathbf{U}^n)$ may be replaced by $N(\mathbf{U}^n)$. The result of Davis gives a partial answer enough for our needs.

In the sequel we will need only the following proposition. A proof follows from the above discussion.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $f(z) = f(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in H_\phi(\mathbf{U}^n)$. Then for an integer $1 \le k \le n-1$ and mutually disjoint integers $\{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have*

(1) *$f_{z_{j_1} \dots z_{j_k}} \in H_\phi(\mathbf{U}^{n-k})$ for all $(z_{j_1}, \dots, z_{j_k}) \in \mathbf{U}^k$;*
(2) *$f_{\zeta_{j_1} \dots \zeta_{j_k}} \in H_\phi(\mathbf{U}^{n-k})$ for almost every $(\zeta_{j_1}, \dots, \zeta_{j_k}) \in \mathbf{T}^k$.*

Particularly, the iterated boundary function $f_{\zeta_{j_1} \dots \zeta_{j_k}}$ is the same as the radial boundary function $f^*_{\zeta_{j_1} \dots \zeta_{j_k}}$ for almost every $(\zeta_{j_1}, \dots, \zeta_{j_k}) \in \mathbf{T}^k$.

Remark 2.9. It follows that the function $f_{\zeta_{j_1} \dots \zeta_{j_k}}$ which appears in the above proposition does not depend on the order of iteration; thus, we may assume $1 \le j_1 < \dots < j_k \le n$.

We introduce $PL_p(\mathbf{U}^n)\,(0 < p < \infty)$ as the class of all n-logarithmically subharmonic functions in $\mathbf{U}^n$ such that

$$\|U\|_p = \sup_{0 \le r < 1} M_p(U, r) = \sup_{0 \le r < 1} \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{U}^n} U(r\zeta)^p \, dm_n(\zeta) \right\}^{1/p}$$

It is known that every $U \in PL_p(\mathbf{U}^n)$ has the radial limit a.e., i.e., there exists

$$U(\zeta) = \lim_{r \to 1} U(r\zeta) \quad \text{for a.e. } \zeta \in \mathbf{T}^n.$$

Obviously $\{|f| : f \in H^p(\mathbf{U}^n)\} \subseteq PL_p(\mathbf{U}^n)$.

This subsection is devoted to the proof of the following

Theorem 2.10. *For $f(z) = f(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in H^p(\mathbf{U}^n)$ and integers $1 \le j_1 < \dots < j_k \le n$, where $1 \le k < n$ is also an integer, the function*

$$U(z_{j_1}, \dots, z_{j_k}) = \|f_{z_{j_1} \dots z_{j_k}}\|_p^p$$

is well defined in $\mathbf{U}^k$. Moreover, $U \in PL_1(\mathbf{U}^k)$ and the PL_1-norm of U is given by

$$\|U\|_1 = \|f\|_p^p.$$

For a proof of Theorem 2.10 we need the auxiliary results that are formulated below.

Lemma 2.11. *For $z \in \mathbf{U}^n$ there holds the (optimal) estimate of the modulus*

$$|F(z)|^p \le \frac{1}{(1 - |z_1|^2) \cdots (1 - |z_n|^2)} \|F\|_p,$$

of $F(w) = F(w_1, \dots, w_n) \in H^p(\mathbf{U}^n)\,(0 < p < \infty)$. The equality sign occurs if and only if

$$F(w) = \lambda K_z(w)^{2/p},$$

where λ is any constant. Here, $K(w,z) = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - w_j \overline{z}_j)^{-1}$ stands for the Cauchy–Szegö kernel for the unit polydisc.

Remark 2.12. A similar statement to Lemma 2.11 may be found in the Vukotić work [31] for functions which belong to weighted Bergman spaces in the unit ball of $\mathbf{C}^n$. The same idea may be applied to derive the preceding optimal result.

In what follows we will use several times the next lemma which follows immediately from the Lebesgue Dominant Convergence Theorem. If (X, ν) is a measurable space and Λ a non–empty set of indexes, we say that G is dominant for the family $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ of real–valued measurable functions in X if $F_\alpha(z) \le G(z)$ for almost every $z \in X$ and for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$.

Lemma 2.13. *Let D be a domain, (X, ν) a measurable space, and let $F(z,w)$ be defined in $D \times X$ such that $F_z \in L^1(X, \nu)$ for all $z \in D$ and $F_w \in C(D)$ for almost every $w \in X$. If for any compact set $K \subseteq D$ there exists $G \in L^1(X, \nu)$ dominant for the family*

$$\{F_z : z \in K\},$$

then

$$z \to \int_X F(z,w)\, d\nu(w)$$

is continuous in D.

Proof of Theorem 2.10. For simplicity we will assume that $j_1 = n - k + 1$ and $j_k = n$.

In view of the first part of Proposition 2.8, the value of

$$\begin{aligned} U(z_{n-k+1}, \dots, z_n) &= \|f_{z_{n-k+1} \dots z_n}\|_p^p \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{T}^{n-k}} |f^*_{z_{n-k+1} \dots z_n}(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-k})|^p dm_{n-k}(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-k}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{T}^{n-k}} |f^*_{\zeta_1 \dots \zeta_{n-k}}(z_{n-k+1}, \dots, z_n)|^p dm_{n-k}(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-k}) \end{aligned}$$

is finite for every $(z_{n-k+1}, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{U}^k$. We have used the identity

$$f^*_{z_{n-k+1} \dots z_n}(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-k}) = f^*_{\zeta_1 \dots \zeta_{n-k}}(z_{n-k+1}, \dots, z_n),$$

which holds for every $(z_{n-k+1}, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{U}^k$ and for almost every $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-k}) \in \mathbf{T}^{n-k}$. Let us say that on the left side of the preceding relation we mean the radial boundary value of $f_{z_{n-k+1} \dots z_n}$ at $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-k}) \in \mathbf{T}^{n-k}$. On the right side we have the value of the radial boundary function $f^*_{\zeta_1 \dots \zeta_{n-k}}$ at the point $(z_{n-k+1}, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{U}^k$. In both cases it is about the boundary value

$$\lim_{r \to 1^-} f(r\zeta_1, \dots, r\zeta_{n-k}, z_{n-k+1}, \dots, z_n).$$

Denote $z' = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-k})$ and $z'' = (z_{n-k+1}, \dots, z_n)$. Similarly, denote $\zeta' = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-k})$ and $\zeta'' = (\zeta_{n-k+1}, \dots, \zeta_n)$.

For all $0 \le r < 1$ introduce

$$\tilde{U}_r(z'') = \int_{\mathbf{T}^k} |f_{r\zeta'}(z'')|^p dm_k(\zeta'), \quad z'' \in \mathbf{U}^k.$$

Note that $\tilde{U}_r(z'')$ is not the r-dilatation of $U(z'')$.

Regarding Lemma 2.4, the function $\tilde{U}_r$, $0 \leq r < 1$ is k-logarithmically subharmonic in the polydisc $\mathbf{U}^k$, since the same is true for

$$\mathbf{U}^k \ni z'' \to |f(r\zeta', z'')|^p,$$

for all $\zeta' = (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{n-k}) \in \mathbf{T}^{n-k}$. The convergence

$$\tilde{U}_r(z'') \to U(z''), \quad r \to 1^-$$

is nondecreasing in r, if $z'' = (z_{n-k+1}, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbf{U}^k$ is fixed, since

$$\tilde{U}_r(z'') = M_p^p(f_{z''}, r)$$

and $f_{z''} \in H^p(\mathbf{U}^{n-k})$. Therefore,

$$U(z'') = \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \tilde{U}_r(z'')$$

for all $z'' = (z_{n-k+1}, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbf{U}^k$.

In view of Lemma 2.3, in order to show that U is continuous k-logarithmically subharmonic in $\mathbf{U}^k$, i.e., that it belongs to the class $PL(\mathbf{U}^k)$, it is enough to prove that U is continuous in the same domain. To realize that we will use Lemma 2.13. Let K be any compact subset of $\mathbf{U}^k$. We show that there exists an integrable function which is dominant for the family

$$\left\{ |f^*_{z''}(\zeta')|^p : z'' = (z_{n-k+1}, \ldots, z_n) \in K,\, \zeta' = (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{n-k}) \in \mathbf{T}^{n-k} \right\}.$$

There exists a constant $C = C(K)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{(1 - |z_{n-k+1}|^2) \cdots (1 - |z_n|^2)} \leq C \tag{2.9}$$

for $z'' = (z_{n-k+1}, \ldots, z_n) \in K$. Since $f^*_{\zeta_1 \ldots \zeta_{n-k}} \in H^p(\mathbf{U}^k)$ for a.e. $(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{n-k}) \in \mathbf{T}^{n-k}$ (see the second part of Proposition 2.8), according to the Lemma 2.11, we find

$$|f^*_{\zeta_1 \ldots \zeta_{n-k}}(z_{n-k+1}, \ldots, z_n)|^p \leq \frac{\|f^*_{\zeta_1 \ldots \zeta_{n-k}}\|_p^p}{(1 - |z_{n-k+1}|^2) \cdots (1 - |z_n|^2)}, \tag{2.10}$$

for $(z_{n-k+1}, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbf{U}^k$. The growth estimate (2.10) gives the dominant function. Denote by

$$\begin{aligned} G(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{n-k}) &= C \|f^*_{\zeta_1 \ldots \zeta_{n-k}}\|_p^p \\ &= C \int_{\mathbf{T}^k} |f^*_{\zeta_1 \ldots \zeta_{n-k}}(\zeta_{n-k+1}, \ldots, \zeta_n)|^p dm_k(\zeta_{n-k+1}, \ldots, \zeta_n), \end{aligned}$$

a function defined almost everywhere on the torus $\mathbf{T}^{n-k}$. The function G is integrable, since applying the Fubini theorem and Proposition 2.8, we find

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}^{n-k}} G(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{n-k}) = C \int_{\mathbf{T}^n} |f^*(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n)|^p dm_n(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n) = C \|f\|_p^p < \infty.$$

Using (2.10) and (2.9), it follows

$$|f^*_{z_{n-k+1} \ldots z_n}(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{n-k})|^p \leq G(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{n-k})$$

for almost every $(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{n-k}) \in \mathbf{T}^{n-k}$ and for every $(z_{n-k+1}, \ldots, z_n) \in K$. Since the function $|f^*_{\zeta'}(z'')|^p$ is continuous (for a.e. $\zeta' = (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{n-k}) \in \mathbf{T}^{n-k}$, as

modulus of an analytic function, what follows from Proposition 2.8), applying Lemma 2.13 we obtain that

$$U(z_{n-k+1},\dots,z_n) = \int_{\mathbf{T}^{n-k}} |f^*_{z_{n-k+1}\dots z_n}(\zeta_1,\dots,\zeta_{n-k})|^p dm_{n-k}(\zeta_1,\dots,\zeta_{n-k})$$

is also continuous in $\mathbf{U}^k$.

In order to finish the proof of this theorem we have to show that $U \in PL_1(\mathbf{U}^k)$ and $\|U\|_1 = \|f\|_p^p$. First of all, for $0 \le r < 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(U,r) &= \int_{\mathbf{T}^k} \|f_{r\zeta''}\|_p^p \, dm_k(\zeta'') \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{T}^k} \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{T}^{n-k}} |f^*_{r\zeta''}(\zeta')|^p dm_{n-k}(\zeta') \right\} dm_k(\zeta'') \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{T}^{n-k}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{T}^k} |f^*_{r\zeta''}(\zeta')|^p dm_k(\zeta'') \right\} dm_{n-k}(\zeta') \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{T}^{n-k}} M_p^p(f^*_{\zeta'}, r)\, dm_{n-k}(\zeta') \\ &\le \int_{\mathbf{T}^{n-k}} \|f^*_{\zeta'}\|_p^p \, dm_{n-k}(\zeta') \\ &= \|f\|_p^p < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\|U\|_1 = \sup_{0\le r<1} M_1(U,r) \le \|f\|_p^p.$$

Therefore, we indeed have $U \in PL_1(\mathbf{U}^k)$.

To show the reverse inequality, it is enough to apply the Fatou lemma. Since $U \in PL_1$, the radial boundary value $U(\zeta'')$ exists for almost every point $\zeta'' = (\zeta_{n-k+1},\dots,\zeta_n) \in \mathbf{T}^k$, and it holds

$$\begin{aligned} U(\zeta_{n-k+1},\dots,\zeta_n) &= \lim_{r\to 1^-} U(r\zeta_{n-k+1},\dots,r\zeta_n) \\ &= \lim_{r\to 1^-} \|f_{(r\zeta_{n-k+1})\dots(r\zeta_n)}\|_p^p \ge \|f^*_{\zeta_{n-k+1}\dots\zeta_n}\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we obtain

$$\|U\|_1 = \int_{\mathbf{T}^k} U(\zeta'')\, dm_k(\zeta'') \ge \int_{\mathbf{T}^k} \|f^*_{\zeta''}\|_p^p \, dm_k(\zeta'') = \|f\|_p^p.$$

what finishes this proof. □

3. The proof of the main theorem

This section is devoted to the proof of the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We use the induction on the dimension parameter n. For $n = 1$ we have already proved the theorem (Theorem 2.1).

Assume now that this theorem is valid for $n-1$, where $n \ge 2$. We are going to prove it for n. Let $f_j(z) = f_j(z', z_n) \in H^{p_j}(\mathbf{U}^n)$ for all $j = 1,\dots,m$, where we have denoted $z' = (z_1,\dots,z_{n-1})$. Since $d\nu_n(z) = d\nu_{n-1}(z') \times d\mu(z_n)$, applying the

Fubini theorem we obtain

(3.1)

$$\int_{\mathbf{U}^n} \Phi(\dots, |f_j(z)|^{p_j}, \dots)\, d\nu_n(z) = \int_{\mathbf{U}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{U}^{n-1}} \Phi(\dots, |f_j^{z_n}(z')|^{p_j}, \dots)\, d\nu_{n-1}(z') \right\} d\mu(z_n) \leq \int_{\mathbf{U}} \Phi(\dots, \|f_j^{z_n}\|_{p_j}^{p_j}, \dots)\, d\mu(z_n) \leq \Phi(\dots, \|f_j\|_{p_j}^{p_j}, \dots),$$

what proves the inequality in our theorem.

More precisely, since $f_j^{z_n} \in H^{p_j}(\mathbf{U}^{n-1})$ for every fixed $z_n \in \mathbf{U}$, applying the induction hypothesis, we obtain the first inequality above

$$\int_{\mathbf{U}^{n-1}} \Phi(\dots, |f_j^{z_n}(z')|^{p_j}, \dots)\, d\nu_{n-1}(z') \leq \Phi(\dots, \|f_j^{z_n}\|_{p_j}^{p_j}, \dots). \tag{3.2}$$

In view of Theorem 2.10, let $U_j \in PL_1$ $(j = 1, \dots, m)$ be defined in the unit disc in the following way

$$U_j(z_n) = \|f_j^{z_n}\|_{p_j}^{p_j}, \quad z_n \in \mathbf{U}. \tag{3.3}$$

By the same theorem we have

$$\|U_j\|_1 = \|f_j\|_{p_j}^{p_j} \tag{3.4}$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. The second inequality

$$\int_{\mathbf{U}} \Phi(\dots, \|f_j^{z_n}\|_{p_j}^{p_j}, \dots)\, d\mu(z_n) = \int_{\mathbf{U}} \Phi(\dots, U_j(z_n), \dots)\, d\mu(z_n) \leq \Phi(\dots, \|U_j\|_1, \dots) = \Phi(\dots, \|f_j\|_{p_j}^{p_j}, \dots) \tag{3.5}$$

follows from the logarithmically subharmonic version of the case $n = 1$ of our main theorem (Theorem 2.5).

Regarding Theorem 2.5, it is not hard to see that the main inequality holds if we take $U_j \in PL_1(\mathbf{U}^n)$ instead of $f_j \in H^1(\mathbf{U}^n)$ (for all $j = 1, \dots, m$) with $PL_1(\mathbf{U}^n)$-norms of functions U_j $(j = 1, \dots, m)$ on the right side. This is important to note for the rest of this proof which is devoted to the extremal functions. To establish this version of the main inequality, it is enough to prove it for dilatations $(U_j)_r$, $0 \leq r < 1$. One can prove this as we have just done for analytic functions (even easily, since we have continuous functions in a vicinity of the unit polydisc). Letting $r \to 1^-$ we obtain the desired inequality, since the $PL_1(\mathbf{U}^n)$-norms of dilations of a function in the class $PL_1(\mathbf{U}^n)$ converge to the $PL_1(\mathbf{U}^n)$-norm of the same function. This approach, however, does not provide all extremal functions for the main inequality in the subharmonic case neither in the analytic case.

As we have said, the second half of this proof is devoted to the extremal functions for the main inequality. However, we will make a digression in order to show that the function

$$F(z_n) = \int_{\mathbf{U}^{n-1}} \Phi(\dots, |f_j^{z_n}(z')|^{p_j}, \dots)\, d\nu_{n-1}(z'),$$

which appears at the beginning of this proof, under the integral sign of the relation (3.2) is continuous at every point $z_n \in \mathbf{U}$. In view of Lemma 2.13, it is enough

to show that for any compact set $K \subseteq \mathbf{U}$ there exists $G(z') \in L^1(\mathbf{U}^{n-1}, \nu_{n-1})$ dominant for the family

$$\left\{ \tilde{F}_{z_n}(z') = \Phi(\dots, |f_j^{z_n}(z')|^{p_j}, \dots) : z_n \in K \right\}.$$

To finish this, let a constant $C = C(K)$ be chosen in such a way that

$$\frac{1}{1-|z_n|^2} \le C, \quad z_n \in K.$$

Since $f_j^{z'} \in H^{p_j}$ for every fixed $z' \in \mathbf{U}^{n-1}$ by Lemma 2.11, we obtain the estimate

$$|f_j^{z'}(z_n)|^{p_j} \le \frac{1}{1-|z_n|^2} \|f_j^{z'}\|_{p_j}^{p_j}, \quad z_n \in \mathbf{U}.$$

Thus, for fixed $z_n \in K$ we have

$$\tilde{F}_{z_n}(z') = \Phi(\dots, |f_j(z', z_n)|^{p_j}, \dots) \le \Phi(\dots, C\tilde{U}_j(z'), \dots),$$

where we have denoted

$$\tilde{U}_j(z') = \|f_j^{z'}\|_{p_j}^{p_j}, \quad z' \in \mathbf{U}^{n-1}$$

for each $j = 1, \dots, m$; see also Theorem 2.10. Thus,

$$\tilde{F}_{z_n}(z') \le G(z'),$$

for

$$G(z') = \Phi(\dots, C\tilde{U}_j(z'), \dots), \quad z' \in \mathbf{U}^{n-1}.$$

It remains to show that $G \in L^1(\mathbf{U}^{n-1}, \nu_{n-1})$. Since $\tilde{U}_j \in PL_1(\mathbf{U}^{n-1})$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$, according to the main inequality for functions in the class $PL_1(\mathbf{U}^{n-1})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{U}^{n-1}} G(z')\, d\nu_{n-1}(z') &= \int_{\mathbf{U}^{n-1}} \Phi(\dots, C\tilde{U}_j(z'), \dots)\, d\nu_{n-1}(z') \\ &\le \Phi(\dots, C\|\tilde{U}_j\|_1, \dots) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now prove the second half of our theorem – the equality statement.

Equality attains in the main inequality if and only if equality holds at both places in (3.1). If $f_j \equiv 0$ for some j, $1 \le j \le m$, then equality obvious holds at both places in (3.1). In the sequel we assume this is not the case and will firstly prove that if equality attains in the main inequality, then each f_j $(j = 1, \dots, m)$ does not vanish in $\mathbf{U}^n$. After that we will use the equality part of the assumption (†) in order to derive the equality statement in general.

Since $f_j \not\equiv 0$, in view of (3.3) we have $U_j \not\equiv 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. Thus, equality holds at the second place in (3.1) if and only if

$$U_j(z_n) = |\Psi_j^1(z_n)|, \quad z_n \in \mathbf{U}$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, m$, where $(\dots, \Psi_j^1, \dots) \in \mathcal{E}(\Phi, \mu)$ (see the equality statement of Theorem 2.5). This means that each U_j does not vanish in the unit disc.

Note now that the first inequality in (3.1) may be rewritten in the following form

$$\int_{\mathbf{U}} F(z_n)\, d\nu_{n-1}(z_n) \le \int_{\mathbf{U}} \Phi(\dots, U_j(z_n), \dots)\, d\nu_{n-1}(z_n).$$

Since $F(z_n)$ and $\Phi(\dots, U_j(z_n), \dots)$ are continuous in all points $z_n \in \mathbf{U}$, equality occurs in the preceding inequality if and only if

$$F(z_n) = \Phi(\dots, U_j(z_n), \dots) \text{ for all } z_n \in \mathbf{U}.$$

This means that equality holds in (3.2) also for all $z_n \in \mathbf{U}$, what is possible (in view of the equality statement of the induction hypothesis) if and only if

$$f_j^{z_n}(z') = \Psi_{j,z_n}^{n-1}(z'), \quad z' \in \mathbf{U}^{n-1}$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, m$; here $(\dots, \Psi_{j,z_n}^{n-1}, \dots) \in \mathcal{E}(\Phi, \nu_{n-1})$ for $z_n \in \mathbf{U}$. Namely, since each $U_j(z_n)\,(j = 1, \dots, m)$ does not vanish in the unit disc, it is not possible to exist j, $1 \le j \le m$ and $z_n \in \mathbf{U}$ such that $f_j^{z_n} \equiv 0$ (see (3.3)).

All together, we have proved that if equality holds in the main inequality, then each $f_j\,(j = 1, \dots, m)$ does not vanish in $\mathbf{U}^n$. Thus, we can take some branch $f_j^{p_j/\tilde{p}_j} \in H^{\tilde{p}_j}(\mathbf{U}^n)$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. Using now the equality statement of (†) for $f_j^{p_j/\tilde{p}_j}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, we find that equality holds if and only if each $f_j\,(j = 1, \dots, m)$ is of the form $f_j^{p_j/\tilde{p}_j} = \Psi_j^n$, or what is the same

$$f_j = \{\Psi_j^n\}^{\tilde{p}_j/p_j}$$

for some $(\dots, \Psi_j^n, \dots) \in \mathcal{E}(\Phi, \nu_n)$. This finishes the proof of the equality statement of Theorem 1.4. □

Remark 3.1. An inner function in $\mathbf{U}^n$ is a bounded analytic function whose radial boundary values satisfy $|G^*(\zeta)| = 1$ a.e. on $\mathbf{T}^n$. An inner function G in $\mathbf{U}^n$ is said to be good if $\mathcal{H}_G \equiv 0$; here, $\mathcal{H}_G$ stands for the least n-harmonic majorant of $\log|G|$ in $\mathbf{U}^n$. One can prove that an inner function G is good if and only if $\mathcal{H}_G(0) = 0$. In the case of the unit disc, the good inner functions are precisely the Blaschke products.

As is well known, in one variable case, there corresponds to every $f \in H^p$ a Blaschke product B such that $h = f/B$ has no zeros in the unit disc, $h \in H^p(\mathbf{U})$, and even $\|h\|_p = \|f\|_p$. If $\mathbf{U}$ is replaced by $\mathbf{U}^n$, where $n > 1$, one might expect that the role of the Blaschke products is taken over by the good inner functions. This is true, but only to a certain extent. The analogues of the one–variable theory hold for exactly those $f \in H^p(\mathbf{U}^n)$ for which the least n-harmonic majorant $\mathcal{H}_f$ of $\log|f|$ is the real part of an analytic function – the class denoted by $\mathrm{RP}(\mathbf{U}^n)$. We have

Proposition 3.2 (cf. [29])**.** *Assume $f \in N(\mathbf{U}^n)$. Then*

1. *If $\mathcal{H}_f$ is not in $\mathrm{RP}(\mathbf{U}^n)$, then no good inner function has the same zeros as f;*
2. *If $\mathcal{H}_f \in \mathrm{RP}(\mathbf{U}^n)$, then there is a good inner function (unique up to a multiplicative constant) with the same zeros as f.*

And

Proposition 3.3 (cf. [29])**.** *Let G be a good inner function, h an analytic function in $\mathbf{U}^n$, and $f = G \cdot h$. Then $h \in N(\mathbf{U}^n)$. Moreover,*

1. *If $f \in N^*(\mathbf{U}^n)$, then $h \in N^*(\mathbf{U}^n)$.*
2. *If $f \in H^p(\mathbf{U}^n)\,(0 < p < \infty)$, then $h \in H^p(\mathbf{U}^n)$, and $\|h\|_p = \|f\|_p$.*

We will sketch now a simple but incomplete proof of Theorem 1.4 based on the preceding propositions. This proof is motivated by the method which is almost standard in the theory of Hardy spaces (in the unit disc). However, as we have seen, this approach gives a complete proof in the one–dimensional case of our main theorem (Theorem 2.1).

Let $f_j \in H^{p_j}(\mathbf{U}^n)$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $f_j \not\equiv 0$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, m$. Assume, moreover, that each $\log|f_j|$ has an n-harmonic majorant which belongs to $\mathrm{RP}(\mathbf{U}^n)$. With the preceding additional assumption, it is possible to obtain the factorization $f_j = G_j h_j$, where G_j is a good inner function on the unit polydisc with the same zeroes as f_j. Recall, we take $G_j \equiv 1$, if f_j is zero–free. Now the proof goes in the same way as in the case $n = 1$.

4. On the Burbea inequality

4.1. **An extension of the Burbea inequality.** Our goal here is to derive the next theorem proved by the author in the case of the unit bidisc. The inequality in the case $m = 2$ may be found in the Kalaj paper [12]. It is also given in [23] in the non–weighted case, i.e., for $m = 2$ and $f_1 = f_2$.

Theorem 4.1 (cf. [17])**.** *Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer and $f_j(z) \in H^{p_j}(\mathbf{U}^n)\,(0 < p_j < \infty)$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Then*

$$\prod_{j=1}^{m} |f_j|^{p_j} \in L^1(\mathbf{U}^n, dA_{\mathbf{m-2}})$$

with

$$\int_{\mathbf{U}^n} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{m} |f_j(z)|^{p_j} \right\} dA_{\mathbf{m-2}}(z) \leq \prod_{j=1}^{m} \|f_j\|_{p_j}^{p_j}.$$

Equality attains if and only if either $\prod_{j=1}^m f_j \equiv 0$ or each $f_j\,(j = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$ is of the form

$$f_j(z) = c_j K_w(z)^{2/p_j}, \quad z \in \mathbf{U}^n$$

for some (common) $w \in \mathbf{U}^n$ and a non–zero c_j. Here, $K(z, w) = \prod_{j=1}^m (1 - z_j \overline{w}_j)^{-1}$ denotes the Cauchy–Szegö kernel for the unit polydisc.

Proof. The general case which contains this corollary follows from our Theorem 1.4, where we have to take for $\Phi : \mathbf{R}_+^m \to \mathbf{R}_+$ and μ the following:

$$\Phi(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \prod_{j=1}^{m} x_j$$

and

$$d\mu(z) = dA_{m-2}(z) = \frac{m-1}{\pi}(1 - |z|^2)^{m-2} dA(z).$$

The condition (†) is satisfied for $\tilde{p}_j = 2$ (for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$), and the family of extremals for ν_n is

$$\mathcal{E}(\Phi, \nu_n) = \{(C_1 K_w(z), \ldots, C_m K_w(z)) : w \in \mathbf{U}^n,\, C_j \neq 0,\, j = 1,\ 2, \ldots, m\},$$

according to Theorem 1.1 (for $q_j = \mathbf{1}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$).

Recall that $H_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{U}^n) = H^2(\mathbf{U}^n)$ is the Hardy space and $H_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{U}^n) = L^2_{a,m-2}(\mathbf{U}^n)$ is the weighted Bergman space. □

4.2. **Remarks.** The rest of this section is devoted to some comments concerning the particular cases of the preceding theorem.

For $n = 1$ and $p_j = p$, $f_j = f \in H^p$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ the inequality in Theorem 4.1 becomes

$$\frac{m-1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbf{U}} |f(z)|^{mp} \, (1-|z|^2)^{m-2} \, dA(z) \le \|f\|_p^{mp}. \tag{4.1}$$

Extremal functions do not depend on the letter m. Explicitly, a function $f \in H^p$ is extremal for (4.1) if and only if it has the form

$$f(z) = \frac{\lambda}{(1-z\overline{w})^{2/p}}, \quad z \in \mathbf{U}$$

for some $w \in \mathbf{U}$ and a constant λ. The inequality (4.1) may be found in the Burbea paper [6], but it was proved earlier by Mateljević and Pavlović [22] in a different way – considering one of the Rudin problems for analytic functions in the unit polydisc.

If we take $m = 2$ in (4.1), we have the modern version of the Carleman inequality [7]: If $f(z)$ belongs to the Hardy space H^p, where p is any positive number, then it also belongs to the Bergman space L_a^{2p}, and

$$4\pi \int_{\mathbf{U}} |f(z)|^{2p} dA(z) \le \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{T}} |f(\zeta)|^p |d\zeta| \right\}^2. \tag{4.2}$$

A proof of (4.2) and the corresponding equality statement is also exposed in [32] with the observation that the original Carleman approach leads to a simpler proof of the result of Hardy and Littlewood [10] on boundedness of the inclusion operator from H^p into L_a^{2p}. A similar approach was given earlier by Mateljević [18]. The inequality may be also found in the Strebel book [30, Theorem 19.9, pp. 96–98].

The inequality (4.2) is related to the classical isoperimetric inequality: If D is a simply–connected domain in the plain such that ∂D is a rectifiable curve, then the area of D and the length of ∂D satisfy

$$4\pi \mathrm{Area}(D) \le \mathrm{Length}(\partial D)^2 \tag{4.3}$$

with equality if and only if D is a disc. The analytic proof of the isoperimetric inequality is exposed in [32], see also [19], [20], [21]. For a discussion on (4.3), various connections with some known analytic inequalities (including Carleman's one), we refer to the survey article [24] of Osserman.

In [7] Carleman deduced (4.3) for minimal surfaces. In that case the relation (4.3) should be understood in the context of the intrinsic geometry of a surface. Historically, that was the first analytical proof of the classical isoperimetric inequality for surfaces. Carleman's original proof is based on the Weierstrass–Enneper parametrization of minimal surfaces and the following inequality which is also contained in Theorem 4.1. Let f_1, $f_2 \in H^1$, then

$$4\pi \int_{\mathbf{U}} |f_1(z)||f_2(z)| dA(z) \le \int_{\mathbf{T}} |f_1(\zeta)||d\zeta| \int_{\mathbf{T}} |f_2(\zeta)||d\zeta|.$$

It is well known that the classical isoperimetric inequality holds for simply–connected domains on a surface of the non–positive Gaussian curvature. One may use a version of the inequality (4.2) for logarithmically subharmonic functions in order to derive the isoperimetric inequality in this circumference [2], [3], [16], [26].

References

[1] N. Aronszajn, *Theory of reproducing kernels*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1950), 337–404.

[2] E. F. Beckenbach and T. Radó, *Subharmonic functions and minimal surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **35** (1933), 648–661

[3] E. F. Beckenbach and T. Radó, *Subharmonic functions and surfaces of negative curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **35** (1933), 662–674.

[4] J. Burbea, *Inequalities for holomorphic functions of several complex variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **276** (1983), 247–266.

[5] J. Burbea, *Inequalities for reproducing kernel spaces*, Illinois J. Math. **27** (1983), 130–137.

[6] J. Burbea, *Sharp inequalities for holomorphic functions*, Illinois J. Math. **31** (1987), 248–264.

[7] T. Carleman, *Zur Theorie der Minimalflachen*, Math. Z. **9** (1921), 154–160.

[8] C. S. Davis, *Iterated limits in* $N^*(\mathbf{U}^n)$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **178** (1973), 139–146.

[9] P. L. Duren, *Theory of* H^p *spaces*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1970.

[10] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Some properties of fractional integrals*, II, Math. Z. **34** (1932), 403–439.

[11] A. Huber, *On the isoperimetric inequality on surfaces of variable Gaussian curvature*, Ann. Math. **60** (1954), 237–247.

[12] D. Kalaj, *On isoperimetric inequality for the polydisk*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **190** (2011), 355–369.

[13] J. E. Littlewood, *On functions subharmonic in a circle*, J. Lond. Math. Soc **5** (1927), 192–196.

[14] J. E. Littlewood, *On functions subharmonic in a circle*, II, Proc. London Math. Soc. **28** (1929), 383–394.

[15] J. E. Littlewood, *On functions subharmonic in a circle*, III, Proc. London Math. Soc. **32** (1931), 222–234.

[16] S. Lozinsky, *On subharmonic functions and their application to the theory of surfaces*, Bull. Acad. Sci., SSSR. Sér. Math., **8** (1944), 175–194.

[17] M. Marković, *A Sharp inequality for holomorphic functions on the polydisc*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (2013), 1693–1704.

[18] M. Mateljević, *The isoperimetric inequality and some extremal problems in* H^1, in Analytic functions, Kozubnik 1979 (Proc. Seventh Conf., Kozubnik, 1979), 364–369, Lecture Notes in Math. **798**, Springer, Berlin 1980.

[19] M. Mateljević, *Isoperimetric inequality for the polydisk and capacity*, preprint.

[20] M. Mateljević, *Note on Isoperimetric inequality, F. Gehring's problem on linked curves and capacity*, preprint.

[21] M. Mateljević and M. Pavlović, *New proofs of the isoperimetric inequality and some generalizations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **98** (1984), 25–30.

[22] M. Mateljević and M. Pavlović, *Some inequalities of isoperimetric type for the integral means of analytic functions*, Mat. Vesnik **37** (1985), 78–80.

[23] M. Mateljević and M. Pavlović, *Some inequalities of isoperimetric type concerning analytic and subharmonic functions*, Publ. Inst. Math. **50** (1991), 123–130.

[24] R. Osserman, *The isoperimetric inequality*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **84** (1978), 1182–1238.

[25] I. I. Privaloff, *Sur certaines classes de fonctions subharmoniques et leur représentation analytique*, (Russian) Bull. Acad. Sci. URSS, Moscou, Cl. Sci. math. natur. Sér. math. 1938, 191–220 (1938).

[26] T. Radó, *Subharmonic functions*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Berlin, Springer, 1937.

[27] L. I. Ronkin, *Introduction to the theory of entire functions of several variables*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1974.

[28] L. A. Rubel and A. L. Shields, *The failure of interior-exterior factorization in the polydisc and the ball*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **24** (1972), 409–413.

[29] W. Rudin, *Function theory in polydiscs*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.

[30] K. Strebel, *Quadratic Differentials*, A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, Springer–Verlag, 1984.

[31] D. Vukotić, *A sharp estimate for* A^p_α *functions in* $\mathbf{C}^n$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1993), 753–756

[32] D. Vukotić, *The isoperimetric inequality and a theorem of Hardy and Littlewood*, Amer. Math. Monthly **110** (2003), 532–536.

[33] S. Yamashita, *Growth properties of subharmonic functions in the unit disk*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. di Pisa **15** (1988), 515–527.
[34] A. Zygmund, *On the boundary values of functions of several complex variables*, I, Fund. Math. **36** (1949), 207–235.

Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics
University of Montenegro
Cetinjski put b.b.
81000 Podgorica
Montenegro
E-mail address: marijanmmarkovic@gmail.com